Report marking:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Score | Unsatisfactory  Less than 50% | Satisfactory  50-60% | Good  61-75% | Very good  76-90% | Outstanding  90%-100% |
| Originality and Critical viewpoint (30) |  | Sounds ­­­new | Some new knowledge or understanding will be generated | Truly new knowledge and with some impact | Truly new knowledge and with significant impact |
| Critical Literature Review (20) |  | Some review but not really critical | Some critical review | Critical and sufficient review | Thoroughly |
| Clarity of explanation, presentation and writing (30) |  | Logical  Readable, Understandable | Logical, readable, clear, a small amount of language errors | Logical  Clear  Concise  No language errors | Logical  Clear  Concise  No language errors  Well presented |
| Methodology  (20) | Select wrong methods | Select right methodology and  Implement it correctly | Properly selected and  properly Implemented | \*Well selected  \*Well implemented  (e.g., Well-developed Questionnaire and well conducted survey) | \*Well selected  \*Well implemented  \*Well presented |

* Plagiarism: any form of Plagiarism is not acceptable. (please refer to http://owll.massey.ac.nz/referencing/plagiarism.php)
* Written expression: Grammar, spelling, and punctuation.
* Documentation: What references have you used to support your ideas? Are they correctly listed at the end and correctly cited in the text of your report?
* Presentation: Is your assignment clearly presented and readable?
* Is it in a paper template supplied in stream of a journal paper (make sure using a journal paper template provided, but, the template for any journal is fine)